

Mr. Randall Smidt - HC Attorney Office - Compliance Violations - March 9, 2015

I appreciate your good faith for taking time to personally address my email. However, the person who informed you HCSO has sent everything is not telling you the truth either, but I will take it up with the HCSO records custodian directly.

Attached are documents (#1, #2, #3) Ms. Fillion used to support and initiate frivolous briefs to the Texas Attorney General to obstruct justice.

#1 – Affidavite by no one. No one complained about releasing the 9-1-1 call but for some unknown reason a brief was created to prevent the release. However, the **brief but not signed by Ms. Fillion**, *is that your signature?* Roel Garcia? Who signed that brief? Who has the authority to sign for Vince Ryan using Susan Fillion's name? Is this standard HCAO procedure? Is this a compliance violation?

#2 - just as odd, this **affidavite is signed by the records custodian Claudia Gallardo**. She objected to releasing a case when I was attempting to find out how many other innocent citizens have been victimized by HCSO. The HCSO document's **gate keeper, petitioning** not to release documents under **her direct control**. Conflict of interest? Is this a compliance violation? Is this HCAO procedure?

#3 – the affidavite was signed by another **gatekeeper Public Information Officer Deputy Gilliland**. This request was for a **book, Standards and Guidelines for Internal Affairs**. When HCSO/legal told me the *county attorney was considering withholding the book* I was **surprised**, when Susan Fillion actually file a brief to withhold I was **shocked**. This was the last straw, Ms. Fillion was unable to hide behind policy anymore, only then did I contact you, Spinks, and Armstrong, to expose Fillion's firivilour briefs to obstruct justice.

These 3 events, reinforce organized HCSO/IAD corruption and confirmation that Ms. Fillion's briefs do not pass the smell test.

One last item,

#4 Deputy Guerrero read Investigator Thomas's Supp#4 narrative to me. It was the complete opposite of the witness's audio statement. Susan Filion researched this discrepency and said it was all a mistake, but for some unknown reason Ms. Fillion refused to share her 'research' to support her conclusion. Can you expose the logic she refuses to share?

So a quick recap;

#1 Whos signed the Brief? **#2** why is the records custodian signing a affidivat to withhold data under her direct control? **#3** why is IAD administration hiding behind PIO Deputy Gilliland? **#4** Expose Ms. Fillion's research to support her conclusion.

Thank you again for all your help.

William F. Kenny
713-280-5152