

**Journal B (6-7-12/9-13-12) HC12-21366B**

**Thursday: June 7, 2012**

Called Sgt. Thomas – not in office/gone for day to ME office? **(R11)**

Called Sgt. Weinel – **(R13)** he said

1. No independent witness
2. Validation of photos
3. Delay of Report

ADA Schultz decided not to charge Lisa. Sgt Weinel said I could call the Citizen Complaint Desk at the DA's office.

**Friday: June 8, 2012**

Called Sgt. Thomas – they haven't seen him, suggested calling 601 Lockwood. Call his voice mail 713-967-5748 and left a message to return my call to discuss the case.

Called ADA Schultz – **(R15)** Talked to Jennifer, she is pulling the case, it will take a couple of hours. She said there is a process for rejected cases. Not sure if I'm the defendant or complainant.

**Monday: June 11**

Called 713-755-8139 Ms. Miller paralegal HCSO, left msg. have you received my fax on Friday?

Called Sgt. Thomas twice - not there.

Called ADA Schultz / Jennifer 713.755.5800 **(R19)** – Actually spoke to ADA Schultz (Natalie). She doesn't understand the situation, she has not been assigned this case, she knows nothing about it, and she did not review or reject the case. She did say she would look into it and get back to me in a couple of days. (This is a problem because what she will discover is wrong and she will base her decision on wrong information). Also, if I had the wrong person why is she looking into it? Instead of saying move on.

**Tuesday: June 12**

Call AG – 877-673-6839 **(R23)** The decision should have been made to release/not release the 911 call. Ask how long 911 system saves the calls.

Call Sgt. Thomas – 281-564-5988 - **(R21)** – he semi-admits 911 call (hypothetical), spoke to Schultz directly – Schultz is a liar.

The delay of time, I didn't call the police. Nobody knew who called the police.

Did Lisa deny she committed battery? He stated she changed her story and says there was a fight. Did you explain perjury to her? If Lisa did not admit to what she has done then interview my son James.

Validation of Photos – they are still on my phone. I'm sure some trained investigator can harvest those photos and testify to their authentication.

Delay of Report – beyond the statute of limitations?

ADA Schultz looked up the case she is unaware of this case, hasn't reviewed, rejected, or seen this case before. Sgt. Thomas spoke to her personally recently when he presented the case. Who's lying? My money is on Sgt. Thomas.

**Thursday: June 14**

Received the AG ruling via snail mail. My interpretation of Kristi Wilkins (AAG) ruling is the sheriff's office (ACA Susan Fillion) must release the 911 call to me. Open Records rulings triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the sheriff's office. Because of the ruling certain time sensitive events must occur.

Faxed in another request for an update to the case HC12-21366, then left a voice message (R25) for Ann Ragsdale 713-755-5668.

**Friday: June 15**

Called ACA Susan Fillion 713-755-7428 911 (R27) voice mail left to call.

Call ADA Schultz - 713.755.5800 – (R28 & R29) -- Need her letter

Someone said she was real busy and try back on Tuesday, I said that's unacceptable who is her supervisor? Then (same person?) said hello this is Natalie. Natalie is on board with the cover-up, she said she didn't understand why I wanted her to have me arrested. I explained the situation but I'm sure she already knew. She said the case is closed and I'll have to get an attorney. She said she sent me a letter which I haven't received. She took my email address will send me a copy. I can only assume based upon her saying she sent me a letter, then closing the case and never talking to me, that she was familiar with the case all along and lied to me on the phone Monday when she said 'after pulling up the case she knew nothing about it and was not assigned the case. How can ADA Schultz lie to the victim? Intentionally, willing, and knowingly condone/cover-up crime? Called back and asked for Schultz's supervisor, they said Lynne Parsons (Division Chief, Intake Division at Harris County District Attorney's Office) and someone will be calling me back.

Called Traci Mullins 713-755-7428 911 (R30) Traci wasn't there, talked to Cheryl

No one has told her to release the 911 call to me. She is going to check and get back to me probably Monday.

**Monday: June 18**

Called ADA Schultz - 713.755.5800 – (R32) -- Need her letter she said she sent but I never received.

Talked to Jennifer, it will be in the mail today.

Called Ann Ragsdale 713-755-5668 HC12-21366 - for update to police report – left message.

Called ACA Susan Fillion – 713-755-2569 (R34?) Public Information Coordinator.

She wasn't there talked to asst, who is going to present her the AG letter and case facts.

**Tuesday: June 19**

Called Traci Mullins / Cheryl 713-755-7428 911 (R35) - Talked to Traci, they sent an email and called legal. Legal said they have not received the AG letter. She would not identify who her boss is that is going to give her permission to release the letter. She told me to call legal at 713-755-6044. Called AG – 877-673-6839 (R37) HCSO has 10 days from the letter dated 12<sup>th</sup> to turn over the 911 call. File a complaint to greg.abbott@attorneygeneral.tx.gov?

Called ACA Susan Fillion – 713-755-2569 (R38) (no answer)

**Wednesday: June 20, 2012**

Call ACA Susan Fillion – 713-755-2569 (R39) She answered the phone and said if the AG said release it

then they will release the 911 call for a fee. She gave me 3 names.

Dori Wind Gen Counsel 713-755-7342

Roel\_Garcia@hctx.net – she didn't have his phone number only his email. (holy cow)

Delores Miller 713-755-8139

Are you going to release the 911 call within the 10 day deadline? How can I get a copy of your submitted documents? Why/Who requested you to file with the AG to withhold the 911 call and why?

Received letter form ADA Schultz (HcAdaSchultzConfusionLetter) about not bringing charges. She could not convince a jury beyond reasonable doubt. She is trying to make this mess as confusing as possible. She is not telling the truth and intentionally deceiving, misrepresenting, clouding the issue and taking things out of context. I'll respond to her nonsense after I get the 911 call.

Call Ann Ragsdale 713-755-5668 HC12-21366 –

**Thursday: June 21**

Called Delora Miller (R41) rolled to voice mail and voice mail was full. Broke out to the operator and she sent me to Ann Ragsdale – who I've submitted several requests with no response.

Call ACA Susan Fillion – 713-755-2569 (R42)

**Friday: June 22**

Faxed 4 pages again to 713-722-7266,

Failed fax to PIA 713-437-8588.

Called Delores Miller (R43) 713-755-8139, rolled to voicemail it wasn't full, left message.

Created an Email for Susan Fillion and PIA org, but before I sent it Susan Fillion called me (R-none). She said she was attempting to resolve the problem now, needed to find the AG ruling. I sent her the email with all the information.

(R44) We hung up, 10 minutes later she called back and said the cd w/911 call was in the mail. (I'm assuming she conferred with Roel.) She also said call her either way within a few days.

**Tuesday: June 26**

Send Email ADASchultzReply to Schultz, CC:Parsons, Weinel, Fillion. BCC:Wayne. Schultz email bounced back.

Received 911 call recording from Susan Fillion. Joe said I was nuts and threatened him. They answered "Harris County" thought it would be "911 what is your emergency". They asked for name, address, phone number.

**Wednesday: June 27**

Send Email

Send Email ADALynneParsons to Parsons

**Friday: June 29**

Send Email (R45) ADALynneParsons and cc to Weinel

**Monday: July 2**

Lynne Parsons (R46) on vacation this week, Jennifer.  
Faxed request for police report again.

**Tuesday: July 10**

Called Lynne Parsons (R48) they routed me to her. She is 'unaware' of the case, and didn't recall receiving the emails and wanted some information. I told her it was a long story and asked to meet with her. She told me she just got back from vacation, has plenty of work but she will look into the case and call me back this afternoon.

**Thursday: July 12, 2012**

Resent Schultz and Parsons emails to Parsons, in early am.  
Received reply from Lynne Parsons later that morning. She has 10 questions.

**Tuesday: July 17**

Sent reply email to Parsons – **ParsonsQuestionsAnswered** doc

**Wednesday: July 18**

Received email from Parsons.

**Friday: July 20**

Deputy Luce? drove by, I was mowing, flagged him down asked if he heard Joe say the terrorist threat – he said yes.

Called and left msg with Jennifer for Parsons (R51)

**Monday: July 23, 2012**

Received email from Parsons – says no agenda in any of the events I say happened to me. Sent her a reply asking for an audience.

Left several messages for Lynne with no response (R51), (R52), (R53), (R54).

**Wednesday: Aug. 1<sup>st</sup>**

Sent email to Parsons – shit or get off the pot.

**Friday: Aug 3 (R55)**

Called Parsons, talked to Jennifer, Parsons out today. Jennifer said Lynne hasn't replied to the email Jennifer sent her a week ago. I asked her if Lynne was usually non-responsive. Jennifer sent me to Judge Chow/Brandi she is gone home for the day.

**Wednesday: Aug 8**

Email to Judge Chow.

**Thursday: Aug 9**

Call Judge Chow (R56). She answered the phone and has no idea about the situation and did not understand my email she received yesterday morning. She will talk to Lynne Parsons and try to get back to me today.

**Friday: Aug 10**

Judge Chow (R57) called said case is ongoing, she has complete confidence in Lynne Parsons. I asked her if she had a 'feel' for the case – she knows nothing about it at all, she relies on her subordinates.

**Friday: Aug 17 (R59)**

Called 713-755-6103 Lynne's direct number, someone answered da office, I think it was Lynne, Becky said she had someone in her office and asked if I wanted a return call.

**Monday: Aug 27**

Sent email to Judge Chow asking for case status and asking for charges brought against criminals.

**Wednesday: Aug 29 (R60)**

Called 713-755-0104 Judge Chow she said Lynne has sent me a note.

Received rejection letter from Lynne, I do not understand her logic. I received a bunch of gobbledygook which is not the truth.

**Tuesday: Sep. 4**

Sent email to Lynne & cc:Chow, asking to meet for an explanation of Lynne's conclusion.

**Wednesday: Sep. 5 (R61) --**

Called Lynne, not there gone for 2 hrs, called back gone on an interview. I think Lynne might be answering and not identifying herself.

Called Chow, twice sent to voice mail, left msg on 2<sup>nd</sup> call.

Called back Lynne, Jennifer answered and I asked to speak to Lynne, she asked if this was Bill I said yes and she sent me to Lynne. Lynne was on the other line, I held for ½ hr. asst said phone shutting down at 5pm, told her I'd call back.

**Thursday: Sep. 6 (R67)**

Called Lynne, she is at a training session, transferred to Judge Chow she will send her an email and ask that she call back.

**Friday: Sep. 7 (R68)**

Lynne called, James answered the kitchen phone which did not have the recorder. IP phone was problematic and I lost the call twice. Called back the 3<sup>rd</sup> time and Lynne was on the phone with someone else, told Jennifer I wanted a meeting with Lynne Monday or Tuesday.

When I was talking to Lynne she said;

I gave her all the evidence, even the 911 call, she asked if there was more evidence to take into consideration.

Her letter explained her conclusion; I told her I did not understand.

Joe Kenny testified Lisa came out of house with ripped shirt (I'd like to hear the tape) – he was in no position to see that. Joe like everyone else thought the man was the violent part of this attack. I'm assuming Joe thought I was beating her in the house. I did not talk to Joe about what happened, he saw my injuries and probably thought Lisa looked even worse. This is a common false assumption that everyone in this case initially believed. However, provided the truth and supporting documentation a reasonable person would realize a huge injustice occurred and continually increasing damages to the victim.

You are very busy and I can understand that when your department instead of being unbiased has wasted its time on a path of least resistance; to discredit the truth, hide behind ignorance, and collude with HCSO, hide HCSO mistakes, deprive the victim of a crime their rights, and now to hide your mistakes. You are not allowed the luxury of using ignorance as an excuse because that would not be doing the right thing.

You said your decision is base based upon years of experience as a prosecuting attorney. My decision is based upon common sense, basic logic, and knowing right from wrong. I must use the ignorance card, because I don't understand your decision or the entire handling of this case by the department that you control and have responsibility. Please give me an audience, so I can understand, because the evidence, the facts, the truth, and you're handling of this case does not support your decision.

I'm beginning to realize why good people take the law into their hands. I can see how your inaction could cause action from the people you affect.

Fighting city hall is extremely difficult, ranks up there with grocery shopping.

You should be ashamed of yourself. Who do you think you are? You are not above the law.

You said you were sorry this happened to me. – You know the truth.

**Sunday: Sept. 9**

Lisa stopped by to pick up James because she mistakenly thought 6pm was deadline. Anyway she asked what I wanted with the charges, I said, hold her accountable for her actions. She asked what I wanted and I said I wanted to right this wrong. She asked if I would accept financial compensation I asked what she was offering. She also mentioned that I was trying to put her in jail and the kids would suffer because to her confinement. Is confinement on the table? I do not see confinement as a part of the sentence on a conviction for her actions.

**Monday: Sept. 10**

Sent email to Jennifer, Lynne, and Judge Chow. Asking for an audience and us to get on the same path and resolution.

**Thursday: Sept. 13**

Replied to Lynne's email (she can't meet at this time). I told her the decision is unsupportable, not meeting with me is unacceptable, and her actions are unaccountable. I told her I would be in her office at 11am.

**Friday: Sept. 14, 2012**

**Recv email from Lynne, saying she can't meet, immediately called Chow (R71)**

Went down to 1201 Franklin to see Lynne – in meeting. And judge Chow – no appointment.

CONFIDENTIAL